



**International
Standard**

ISO/IEC 40500

**Information technology — W3C Web
Content Accessibility Guidelines
(WCAG) 2.2**

*Technologies de l'information — Règles pour l'accessibilité des
contenus Web (WCAG) 2.2*

**Second edition
2025-09**



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2025

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Table of Contents

Foreword	vi
Introduction	vii
Background on WCAG 2	vii
WCAG 2 Layers of Guidance	viii
WCAG 2.2 Supporting Documents	ix
Requirements for WCAG 2.2	ix
Comparison with WCAG 2.1	ix
New Features in WCAG 2.2	x
Numbering in WCAG 2.2	x
Conformance to WCAG 2.2.....	x
Later Versions of Accessibility Guidelines	xi
1. Perceivable	1
Guideline 1.1 Text Alternatives	1
Success Criterion 1.1.1 Non-text Content.....	1
Guideline 1.2 Time-based Media	2
Success Criterion 1.2.1 Audio-only and Video-only (Prerecorded)	2
Success Criterion 1.2.2 Captions (Prerecorded).....	2
Success Criterion 1.2.3 Audio Description or Media Alternative (Prerecorded)	3
Success Criterion 1.2.4 Captions (Live)	3
Success Criterion 1.2.5 Audio Description (Prerecorded).....	3
Success Criterion 1.2.6 Sign Language (Prerecorded).....	3
Success Criterion 1.2.7 Extended Audio Description (Prerecorded).....	3
Success Criterion 1.2.8 Media Alternative (Prerecorded)	4
Success Criterion 1.2.9 Audio-only (Live).....	4
Guideline 1.3 Adaptable	4
Success Criterion 1.3.1 Info and Relationships	4
Success Criterion 1.3.2 Meaningful Sequence	4
Success Criterion 1.3.3 Sensory Characteristics	5
Success Criterion 1.3.4 Orientation.....	5
Success Criterion 1.3.5 Identify Input Purpose	5
Success Criterion 1.3.6 Identify Purpose	5
Guideline 1.4 Distinguishable	6
Success Criterion 1.4.1 Use of Color	6
Success Criterion 1.4.2 Audio Control	6
Success Criterion 1.4.3 Contrast (Minimum).....	6
Success Criterion 1.4.4 Resize Text	7
Success Criterion 1.4.5 Images of Text	7
Success Criterion 1.4.6 Contrast (Enhanced).....	8
Success Criterion 1.4.7 Low or No Background Audio.....	8
Success Criterion 1.4.8 Visual Presentation.....	9
Success Criterion 1.4.9 Images of Text (No Exception).....	9
Success Criterion 1.4.10 Reflow.....	10
Success Criterion 1.4.11 Non-text Contrast	10
Success Criterion 1.4.12 Text Spacing	10
Success Criterion 1.4.13 Content on Hover or Focus.....	11
2. Operable	12
Guideline 2.1 Keyboard Accessible	12
Success Criterion 2.1.1 Keyboard	12

Success Criterion 2.1.2 No Keyboard Trap	13
Success Criterion 2.1.3 Keyboard (No Exception)	13
Success Criterion 2.1.4 Character Key Shortcuts	13
Guideline 2.2 Enough Time	14
Success Criterion 2.2.1 Timing Adjustable	14
Success Criterion 2.2.2 Pause, Stop, Hide	15
Success Criterion 2.2.3 No Timing.....	15
Success Criterion 2.2.4 Interruptions	16
Success Criterion 2.2.5 Re-authenticating.....	16
Success Criterion 2.2.6 Timeouts.....	16
Guideline 2.3 Seizures and Physical Reactions	16
Success Criterion 2.3.1 Three Flashes or Below Threshold	17
Success Criterion 2.3.2 Three Flashes	17
Success Criterion 2.3.3 Animation from Interactions.....	17
Guideline 2.4 Navigable.....	17
Success Criterion 2.4.1 Bypass Blocks	17
Success Criterion 2.4.2 Page Titled	18
Success Criterion 2.4.3 Focus Order	18
Success Criterion 2.4.4 Link Purpose (In Context)	18
Success Criterion 2.4.5 Multiple Ways.....	18
Success Criterion 2.4.6 Headings and Labels	18
Success Criterion 2.4.7 Focus Visible.....	19
Success Criterion 2.4.8 Location.....	19
Success Criterion 2.4.9 Link Purpose (Link Only)	19
Success Criterion 2.4.10 Section Headings.....	19
Success Criterion 2.4.11 Focus Not Obscured (Minimum)	20
Success Criterion 2.4.12 Focus Not Obscured (Enhanced)	20
Success Criterion 2.4.13 Focus Appearance.....	20
Guideline 2.5 Input Modalities	21
Success Criterion 2.5.1 Pointer Gestures	21
Success Criterion 2.5.2 Pointer Cancellation	21
Success Criterion 2.5.3 Label in Name	22
Success Criterion 2.5.4 Motion Actuation	22
Success Criterion 2.5.5 Target Size (Enhanced).....	23
Success Criterion 2.5.6 Concurrent Input Mechanisms	23
Success Criterion 2.5.7 Dragging Movements	23
Success Criterion 2.5.8 Target Size (Minimum).....	24
3. Understandable	24
Guideline 3.1 Readable	24
Success Criterion 3.1.1 Language of Page	24
Success Criterion 3.1.2 Language of Parts	25
Success Criterion 3.1.3 Unusual Words.....	25
Success Criterion 3.1.4 Abbreviations	25
Success Criterion 3.1.5 Reading Level	25
Success Criterion 3.1.6 Pronunciation.....	25
Guideline 3.2 Predictable	26
Success Criterion 3.2.1 On Focus	26
Success Criterion 3.2.2 On Input.....	26
Success Criterion 3.2.3 Consistent Navigation.....	26
Success Criterion 3.2.4 Consistent Identification.....	26
Success Criterion 3.2.5 Change on Request	27
Success Criterion 3.2.6 Consistent Help	27

ISO/IEC 40500:2025(en)

Guideline 3.3 Input Assistance	27
Success Criterion 3.3.1 Error Identification.....	28
Success Criterion 3.3.2 Labels or Instructions	28
Success Criterion 3.3.3 Error Suggestion	28
Success Criterion 3.3.4 Error Prevention (Legal, Financial, Data)	28
Success Criterion 3.3.5 Help.....	29
Success Criterion 3.3.6 Error Prevention (All)	29
Success Criterion 3.3.7 Redundant Entry	29
Success Criterion 3.3.8 Accessible Authentication (Minimum)	30
Success Criterion 3.3.9 Accessible Authentication (Enhanced).....	30
4. Robust.....	31
Guideline 4.1 Compatible.....	31
Success Criterion 4.1.1 Parsing (Obsolete and removed).....	31
Success Criterion 4.1.2 Name, Role, Value	31
Success Criterion 4.1.3 Status Messages	31
5. Conformance.....	32
5.1 Interpreting Normative Requirements	32
5.2 Conformance Requirements	32
5.2.1 Conformance Level.....	32
5.2.2 Full pages	33
5.2.3 Complete processes	33
5.2.4 Only Accessibility-Supported Ways of Using Technologies	33
5.2.5 Non-Interference	33
5.3 Conformance Claims (Optional).....	34
5.3.1 Required Components of a Conformance Claim.....	34
5.3.2 Optional Components of a Conformance Claim	35
5.4 Statement of Partial Conformance - Third Party Content	35
5.5 Statement of Partial Conformance - Language	36
5.6 Privacy Considerations	36
5.7 Security Considerations	36
6. Glossary.....	37
7. Input Purposes for User Interface Components	65
A. Change Log.....	67
B. Acknowledgments	68
B.1 Participants of the AG WG active in the development of this document:	68
B.2 Other previously active WCAG WG participants and other contributors to WCAG 2.0, WCAG 2.1, or supporting resources	70
B.3 Enabling funders	71
C. References.....	71
C.1 Informative references	71

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

ISO and IEC draw attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO and IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents and <https://patents.iec.ch>. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by W3C (as WCAG 2.2) and drafted in accordance with its editorial rules. It was adopted, under the JTC 1 PAS procedure, by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 40500:2012), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- see the full [commit history to WCAG 2.2](#).

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Introduction

This section is non-normative.

Background on WCAG 2

Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.2 defines how to make Web content more accessible to people with disabilities. Accessibility involves a wide range of disabilities, including visual, auditory, physical, speech, cognitive, language, learning, and neurological disabilities. Although these guidelines cover a wide range of issues, they are not able to address the needs of people with all types, degrees, and combinations of disability. These guidelines also make Web content more usable by older individuals with changing abilities due to aging and often improve usability for users in general.

WCAG 2.2 is developed through the [W3C process](#) in cooperation with individuals and organizations around the world, with a goal of providing a shared standard for Web content accessibility that meets the needs of individuals, organizations, and governments internationally. WCAG 2.2 builds on WCAG 2.0 [[WCAG20](#)] and WCAG 2.1 [[WCAG21](#)], which in turn built on WCAG 1.0 [[WAI-WEBCONTENT](#)] and is designed to apply broadly to different Web technologies now and in the future, and to be testable with a combination of automated testing and human evaluation. For an introduction to WCAG, see the [Web Content Accessibility Guidelines \(WCAG\) Overview](#).

Significant challenges were encountered in defining additional criteria to address cognitive, language, and learning disabilities, including a short timeline for development as well as challenges in reaching consensus on testability, implementability, and international considerations of proposals. Work will carry on in this area in future versions of WCAG. We encourage authors to refer to our supplemental guidance on [improving inclusion for people with disabilities, including learning and cognitive disabilities, people with low-vision, and more](#).

Web accessibility depends not only on accessible content but also on accessible Web browsers and other user agents. Authoring tools also have an important role in Web accessibility. For an overview of how these components of Web development and interaction work together, see:

- [Essential Components of Web Accessibility](#)
- [User Agent Accessibility Guidelines \(UAAG\) Overview](#)
- [Authoring Tool Accessibility Guidelines \(ATAG\) Overview](#)

Where this document refers to WCAG 2 it is intended to mean any and all versions of WCAG that start with 2.

WCAG 2 Layers of Guidance

The individuals and organizations that use WCAG vary widely and include Web designers and developers, policy makers, purchasing agents, teachers, and students. In order to meet the varying needs of this audience, several layers of guidance are provided including overall *principles*, general *guidelines*, testable *success criteria* and a rich collection of *sufficient techniques*, *advisory techniques*, and *documented common failures* with examples, resource links and code.

- **Principles** - At the top are four principles that provide the foundation for Web accessibility: *perceivable*, *operable*, *understandable*, and *robust*. See also [Understanding the Four Principles of Accessibility](#).
- **Guidelines** - Under the principles are guidelines. The 13 guidelines provide the basic goals that authors should work toward in order to make content more accessible to users with different disabilities. The guidelines are not testable, but provide the framework and overall objectives to help authors understand the success criteria and better implement the techniques.
- **Success Criteria** - For each guideline, testable success criteria are provided to allow WCAG 2.2 to be used where requirements and conformance testing are necessary such as in design specification, purchasing, regulation, and contractual agreements. In order to meet the needs of different groups and different situations, three levels of conformance are defined: A (lowest), AA, and AAA (highest). Additional information on WCAG levels can be found in [Understanding Levels of Conformance](#).
- **Sufficient and Advisory Techniques** - For each of the *guidelines* and *success criteria* in the WCAG 2.2 document itself, the working group has also documented a wide variety of *techniques*. The techniques are informative and fall into two categories: those that are *sufficient* for meeting the success criteria and those that are *advisory*. The advisory techniques go beyond what is required by the individual success criteria and allow authors to better address the guidelines. Some advisory techniques address accessibility barriers that are not covered by the testable success criteria. Where common failures are known, these are also documented. See also [Sufficient and Advisory Techniques in Understanding WCAG 2.2](#).

All of these layers of guidance (principles, guidelines, success criteria, and sufficient and advisory techniques) work together to provide guidance on how to make content more accessible. Authors are encouraged to view and apply all layers that they are able to, including the advisory techniques, in order to best address the needs of the widest possible range of users.

Note that even content that conforms at the highest level (AAA) will not be accessible to individuals with all types, degrees, or combinations of disability, particularly in the cognitive, language, and learning areas. Authors are encouraged to consider the full range of techniques, including the advisory techniques, [Making Content Usable for People with Cognitive and Learning Disabilities](#), as well as to seek relevant advice about current best practice to ensure that Web content is accessible, as far as possible, to this community. [Metadata](#) may assist users in finding content most suitable for their needs.

WCAG 2.2 Supporting Documents

The WCAG 2.2 document is designed to meet the needs of those who need a stable, referenceable technical standard. Other documents, called supporting documents, are based on the WCAG 2.2 document and address other important purposes, including the ability to be updated to describe how WCAG would be applied with new technologies. Supporting documents include:

1. [How to Meet WCAG 2.2](#) - A customizable quick reference to WCAG 2.2 that includes all of the guidelines, success criteria, and techniques for authors to use as they are developing and evaluating Web content. This includes content from WCAG 2.0, 2.1 2.2 and can be filtered in many ways to help authors focus on relevant content.
2. [Understanding WCAG 2.2](#) - A guide to understanding and implementing WCAG 2.2. There is a short "Understanding" document for each guideline and success criterion in WCAG 2.2 as well as key topics.
3. [Techniques for WCAG 2.2](#) - A collection of techniques and common failures, each in a separate document that includes a description, examples, code and tests.
4. [The WCAG 2 Documents](#) - A brief introduction to the WCAG 2 supporting documents and supplemental guidance.
5. [What's New in WCAG 2.2](#) introduces the new success criteria with persona quotes that illustrate the accessibility issues.

See [Web Content Accessibility Guidelines \(WCAG\) Overview](#) for a description of the WCAG 2.2 supporting material, including education resources related to WCAG 2. Additional resources covering topics such as the business case for Web accessibility, planning implementation to improve the accessibility of Web sites, and accessibility policies are listed in [WAI Resources](#).

Requirements for WCAG 2.2

WCAG 2.2 meets a set of [requirements for WCAG 2.2](#) which, in turn, inherit requirements from previous WCAG 2 versions. Requirements structure the overall framework of guidelines and ensure backwards compatibility. The Working Group also used a less formal set of acceptance criteria for success criteria, to help ensure success criteria are similar in style and quality to those in WCAG 2.0. These requirements constrained what could be included in WCAG 2.2. This constraint was important to preserve its nature as a dot-release of WCAG 2.

Comparison with WCAG 2.1

WCAG 2.2 was initiated with the goal to continue the work of WCAG 2.1: Improving accessibility guidance for three major groups: users with cognitive or learning disabilities, users with low vision, and users with disabilities on mobile devices. Many ways to meet these needs were proposed and evaluated, and a set of these were refined by the Working Group. Structural requirements inherited from WCAG 2.0, clarity and impact of proposals, and timeline led to the final set of success criteria included in this version. The Working Group considers that WCAG 2.2 incrementally advances web content accessibility guidance for all these areas, but underscores that not all user needs are met by these guidelines.

WCAG 2.2 builds on and is backwards compatible with WCAG 2.1, meaning web pages that conform to WCAG 2.2 are at least as accessible as pages that conform to WCAG 2.1. Requirements have been added that build on 2.1 and 2.0. WCAG 2.2 has removed one success criterion, [4.1.1 Parsing](#). Authors that are required by policy to conform with WCAG 2.0 or 2.1 will be able to update content to WCAG 2.2, but

may need to continue to test and report 4.1.1. Authors following more than one version of the guidelines should be aware of the following additions.

New Features in WCAG 2.2

WCAG 2.2 extends WCAG 2.1 by adding new success criteria, definitions to support them, and guidelines to organize the additions. This additive approach helps to make it clear that sites which conform to WCAG 2.2 also conform to WCAG 2.1. The Accessibility Guidelines Working Group recommends that sites adopt WCAG 2.2 as their new conformance target, even if formal obligations mention previous versions, to provide improved accessibility and to anticipate future policy changes.

The following success criteria are new in WCAG 2.2:

- 2.4.11 [Focus Not Obscured \(Minimum\)](#) (AA)
- 2.4.12 [Focus Not Obscured \(Enhanced\)](#) (AAA)
- 2.4.13 [Focus Appearance](#) (AAA)
- 2.5.7 [Dragging Movements](#) (AA)
- 2.5.8 [Target Size \(Minimum\)](#) (AA)
- 3.2.6 [Consistent Help](#) (A)
- 3.3.7 [Redundant Entry](#) (A)
- 3.3.8 [Accessible Authentication \(Minimum\)](#) (AA)
- 3.3.9 [Accessible Authentication \(Enhanced\)](#) (AAA)

The new success criteria may reference new terms that have also been added to the glossary and form part of the normative requirements of the success criteria.

WCAG 2.2 also introduces new sections detailing aspects of the specification which may impact [privacy](#) and [security](#).

Numbering in WCAG 2.2

In order to avoid confusion for implementers for whom backwards compatibility to WCAG 2 versions is important, new success criteria in WCAG 2.2 have been appended to the end of the set of success criteria within their guideline. This avoids the need to change the section number of success criteria from WCAG 2, which would be caused by inserting new success criteria between existing success criteria in the guideline, but it means success criteria in each guideline are no longer grouped by conformance level. The order of success criteria within each guideline does not imply information about conformance level; only the conformance level indicator (A / AA / AAA) on the success criterion itself indicates this. The [WCAG 2.2 Quick Reference](#) will provide a way to view success criteria grouped by conformance level, along with many other filter and sort options.

Conformance to WCAG 2.2

WCAG 2.2 uses the same conformance model as WCAG 2.0. It is intended that sites that conform to WCAG 2.2 also conform to WCAG 2.0 and WCAG 2.1, which means they meet the requirements of any policies that reference WCAG 2.0 or WCAG 2.1, while also better meeting the needs of users on the current Web.

Later Versions of Accessibility Guidelines

In parallel with WCAG 2.2, the Accessibility Guidelines Working Group is developing another major version of accessibility guidelines. The result of this work is expected to be a more substantial restructuring of web accessibility guidance than would be realistic for dot-releases of WCAG 2. The work follows a research-focused, user-centered design methodology to produce the most effective and flexible outcome, including the roles of content authoring, user agent support, and authoring tool support. This is a multi-year effort, so WCAG 2.2 is needed as an interim measure to provide updated web accessibility guidance to reflect changes on the web since the publication of WCAG 2.0. The Working Group might also develop additional interim versions, continuing with WCAG 2.2, on a similar short timeline to provide additional support while the major version is completed.